arXiv:1604.04430v1 [math.FA] 15 Apr 2016

LIPSCHITZ SLICES VERSUS LINEAR SLICES IN BANACH SPACES

JULIO BECERRA GUERRERO, GINÉS LÓPEZ-PÉREZ AND ABRAHAM RUEDA ZOCA

Abstract. The aim of this note is study the topology generated by Lipschitz slices in the unit sphere of a Banach space. We prove that the above topology agrees with the weak topology in the unit sphere and, as a consequence, we obtain Lipschitz characterizations of classical linear topics in Banach spaces, as Radon-Nikodym property, convex point of continuity property and strong regularity, which shows that the above classical linear properties only depend on the natural uniformity in the Banach space given by the metric and the linear structure.

1. Introduction

It has been recently considered (see [6]) the study of the Daugavet equation for Lipschitz operators, which has resulted in the introduction of the so-called "Lip-slices", getting a charaterization of the Daugavet property in terms of such subsets of the unit sphere. Motivated for these facts, we will define the Lip-topology on the sphere of a Banach space as the topology generated by the Lip-slices of the unit sphere and, even though it seems natural to think that the weak topology is strictly coarser than the Lip-topology, we will actually prove in Theorem 2.4 that both topologies agree, which will allow us to improve the characterization of the Daugavet property given in [6, Theorem 2.6] and to extend the given one in [9, Lemma 3] . Finally, we get as a consequence in Theorem 2.12 that a Banach space X satisfies the Radon-Nikodym property if, and only if, the unit sphere of every equivalent norm in X contains Lipschitz slices with arbitrarily small diameter, which shows that the well known characterization of Radon-Nikodym property for Banach spaces in terms of slices is uniform, that is, it only depends on the natural uniformity in X given by the metric and the linear structure of the Banach space. Similar characterizations are too obtained for the convex point of continuity property and the strong regularity in Banach spaces. We refer to [4] for background on these classical properties.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46B20, 46B22. Key words: Radon-Nikodym property, Lipschitz slices, Lipchitz topology.

The first author was partially supported by MEC (Spain) Grant MTM2014-58984-P and Junta de Andalucía grants FQM-0199, FQM-1215. The second author was partially supported by MINECO (Spain) Grant MTM2015-65020-P and Junta de Andalucía Grant FQM-185.

We shall introduce some notation. We consider real Banach spaces, B_X (resp. S_X) denotes the closed unit ball (resp. sphere) of the Banach space X and X^* stands for the dual space of X. A slice of a bounded subset C of X is a set of the form

$$S(C, f, \alpha) := \{x \in C : \ f(x) > M - \alpha\},$$

where $f \in X^*$, $f \neq 0$, $M = \sup_{x \in C} f(x)$ and $\alpha > 0$. w denotes the weak topology of a Banach space and it is well known that the family of slices is a subbasis of the weak topology for bounded subsets in X.

Let X be a Banach space and $f : X \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a Lipschitz function. According to [2], the generalized derivative of at a point $x \in X$ in the direction $v \in X$ is defined by

$$f^\circ(x, v) := \limsup_{y \to x, t \searrow 0} \frac{f(y + tv) - f(y)}{t}.$$

Such a limit always exists from the Lipschitz condition. Moreover, it is a sublinear and positively homogeneous function in the variable v [2, Proposition 2.1.1].

In addition, the generalized gradient of f at x is defined as follows

$$\partial f(x) := \{x^* \in X^* : f^\circ(x, v) \geq x^*(v) \ \forall v \in X\}.$$

Given $v \in X$ it follows that [2, Proposition 2.1.2]

$$f^\circ(x, v) = \max_{x^* \in \partial f(x)} x^*(v) \ \ \forall x \in X.$$

2. Lipschitz diameter two properties

Definition 2.1. Let X be a Banach space. According to [6], a Lip-slice of S_X is a non-empty set of the form

$$S(S_X, f, \varepsilon) := \left\{ \frac{x_1 - x_2}{\|x_1 - x_2\|} : \frac{f(x_1) - f(x_2)}{\|x_1 - x_2\|} > \|f\| - \varepsilon \right\}.$$

In [6] it is proved that if a Banach space has the Daugavet property then, for each $x \in S_X$, S a Lip-slice of S_X and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $y \in S$ such that

$$\|x + y\| > 2 - \varepsilon.$$

On the other hand, note that the class of Lip-slices defines a subbasis of a topology on S_X. Indeed, define $\mathcal{B}$ to be the class of Lip-slices of S_X. Then

$$\bigcup_{S \in \mathcal{B}} S = S_X$$

as clearly $S(S_X, \|\cdot\|, \alpha) = S_X$ for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^+$ (indeed, given $x \in S_X$ one has that $\frac{2x-x}{\|2x-x\|} \in S$).

Now we can give the following

Definition 2.2. Let X be a Banach space. We will define the Lip-topology on S_X as the topology generated by the subbasis $\mathcal{B}$.

At that point it seems natural to wonder whether the Lip and the weak topology on S_X are equal. It is obvious, as slices of S_X are Lip-slices of S_X, that the weak topology is contained in the Lip-topology. Our aim is to prove that both topologies actually agree. The key of the proof will be the following

Lemma 2.3. *Let X be a Banach space and S be a Lip-slice of S_X. Then for each $x \in S$ there exists T a slice of S_X such that*

$$x \in T \subseteq S.$$

Proof. Assume that $S := S(S_X, f, \varepsilon)$. Consider $x, y \in X, x \neq y$ such that $\frac{y-x}{\|y-x\|} \in S$, i.e.

$$f(y) - f(x) > (1-\varepsilon)\|y-x\|.$$

Define $\phi : [0,1] \longrightarrow [x,y]$ by $\phi(t) := \lambda y + (1-\lambda)x \;\; t \in [0,1]$ and $F := f \circ \phi : [0,1] \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$. As F is a Lipschitz function we have that F is derivable almost everywhere. Now

$$(1-\varepsilon)\|y-x\| < f(y) - f(x) = F(1) - F(0) = \int_0^1 F'(t)\ dt.$$

From here we can find t such that $F'(t)$ exists and it is bigger that $(1-\varepsilon)\|y-x\|$. Thus given $z = \phi(t)$ it follows

$$\limsup_{h \to 0} \frac{f(z + h(y-x)) - f(z)}{h} > (1-\varepsilon)\|y-x\|.$$

Indeed, given $h > 0$ small enough one has

$$\phi(t+h) = (t+h)y + (1-(t+h))x = ty + (1-t)x + h(y-x).$$

As $ty + (1-t)x = \phi(t) = z$, we conclude that

$$\frac{F(t+h) - F(t)}{h} = \frac{f(z + h(y-x)) - f(z)}{h}.$$

As there exists $\lim_{h \to 0} \frac{F(t+h)-F(t)}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(z+h(y-x))-f(z)}{h}$ we conclude that

$$\lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(z + h(y-x)) - f(z)}{h} = \limsup_{h \to 0} \frac{f(z + h(y-x)) - f(z)}{h} \leq f^\circ(z, y-x).$$

As the generalized derivative is positively homogeneous we conclude that $f^\circ(z, \frac{y-x}{\|y-x\|}) > 1 - \varepsilon$. Hence [2, Proposition 2.1.2] there exists $\varphi \in \partial f(x)$ such that $\varphi(\frac{y-x}{\|y-x\|}) > 1 - \varepsilon$. Consequently

$$\frac{y-x}{\|y-x\|} \in T := \{v \in S_X \ / \ \varphi(v) > 1 - \varepsilon\}.$$

Now we shall prove that $T \subseteq S$. To this aim pick $v \in T$, so $\varphi(v) > 1 - \varepsilon$. As

$$\varphi(v) \leq f^\circ(z, v) = \limsup_{y \to z, t \searrow 0} \frac{f(y + tv) - f(y)}{t}$$

we can find $t > 0$ and y close enough to z such that $1 - \varepsilon < \frac{f(y+tv)-f(y)}{t}$. From the definition of S one has

$$S \ni \frac{y + tv - y}{\|y + tv - y\|} = \frac{tv}{\|tv\|} = v,$$

so $\frac{y-x}{\|y-x\|} \in T \subseteq S$. ∎

As a consequence we get the desired result.

Theorem 2.4. *Let X be a Banach space. Then the weak and the Lip-topology agree on S_X.*

Proof. As we have pointed out it is clear that the weak topology on S_X is contained in the Lip-topology on S_X.

For the reverse inclusion, pick W to be a basic open set of the Lip-topology of S_X. Then $W := \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} S(S_X, f_i, \varepsilon_i)$ is a finite and non-empty intersection of Lip-slices. Pick $x \in W$. So, for each $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, we can find by above Lemma T_i a slice of S_X such that

$$x \in T_i \subseteq S(S_X, f_i, \varepsilon_i).$$

So, if we define $U := \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} T_i$, one has

$$x \in U \subseteq W,$$

so we are done. ∎

From here we can improve [6, Corollary 2.6] and get a characterization of Daugavet property which extends [9, Lemma 3].

Corollary 2.5. *Let X be a Banach space. Then X has the Daugavet property if, and only if, for every $x \in S_X$, nonempty open subset for the Lip topology U of S_X and $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $y \in U$ such that*

$$\|x + y\| > 2 - \varepsilon.$$

Proof. It is a straightforward application of [9, Lemma 3] and the fact that every finite and non-empty intersection of Lip-slices contains a non-empty relatively weakly open subset of S_X. ∎

According to [7, Definition 4.10], a locally convex topology τ on a Banach space X is said to be a *Daugavet topology* if given $x, y \in S_X$, U a τ neighborhood of y and $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}^+$ there exists an element $z \in U \cap S_X$ such that $\|x + z\| > 2 - \varepsilon$.

Above Corollary says that Lip-topology is a Daugavet topology in a more general setting.

Now we shall exibit a characterization of Radon-Nikodym property (RNP), convex point of continuity property (CPCP) and strong regularity (SR) in terms of Lip-slices. (We refer to [4] for background around these properties.)

To this aim we shall need to prove a characterization of previous properties in terms of the diameter of slices (respectively non-empty relatively weakly open subsets, convex combination of slices) of the unit ball in each equivalent renorming of the space. It is well known that such characterization holds for the RNP (see [3]). Now we shall prove similar statements for CPCP and SR. To this aim we shall need the following two preliminary results.

Lemma 2.6. *Let X be a Banach space. Then:*

1. *If X fails to be strongly regular then, for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $C \subseteq X$ a closed, bounded, symmetric and convex subset such that $diam(K) = 2$ and each convex combination of slices of K has diameter, at least, $1 - \varepsilon$.*
2. *If X fails the CPCP then, for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $K \subseteq X$ a closed, convex and bounded such that $diam(K) = 2$ and every non-empty relatively weakly open subset of K has diameter, at least, $1-\varepsilon$.*

Proof. (1) Pick $\varepsilon > 0$. By [8, Proposition 4.10 (b)], consider $C \subseteq X$ a closed and convex subset such that $diam(C) = 1$ and such that each convex combination of slices has diameter, at least, $1 - \varepsilon$. Now $K := \overline{co}(C \cup -C)$ is the desired subset by the proof of [1, Lemma 2.12].

(2) Pick $\varepsilon > 0$. By [8, Proposition 4.10, (a)] consider $C \subseteq X$ a closed, convex subset such that $diam(C) = 1$ and such that every non-empty relatively weakly open subset of C has diameter, at least, $1 - \varepsilon$. Define $K := \overline{C - C}$. K is obviously closed. Moreover, K is convex because $C - C$ is convex. Moreover, as $C - C$ is symmetric, so is K.

In order to finish the proof pick U a weakly open subset of X verifying $U \cap (C - C) \neq \emptyset$, and let us prove that last set has diameter, at least, $1 - \varepsilon$. Pick $x_0 \in U \cap (C - C)$, so $x_0 = a - b$ for suitable $a, b \in C$. Now

$$x_0 \in U \Rightarrow a = x_0 + b \in b + U.$$

Consequently $a \in (b + U) \cap C$, so $(b + U) \cap C \neq \emptyset$. By the assumptions on C we conclude that

$$diam((b + U) \cap C) \geq 1 - \varepsilon.$$

We will show that

$$((b + U) \cap C) - b \subseteq U \cap (C - C). \tag{2.1}$$

To this aim pick $x \in ((b + U) \cap C) - b$. So there exists $u \in U$ such that $x = b+u-b$. So $x = u \in U$. Moreover, $b+u \in C$ implies that $x = b+u-b \in C - C$. So (2.1) holds. Hence

$$1-\varepsilon \leq diam((b+U)\cap C) = diam(((b+U)\cap C)-b) \leq diam(U \cap (C-C)).$$

Last inequality proves that each non-empty relatively weakly open subset of $C-C$ has diameter, at least, $1-\varepsilon$. As each non-empty relatively weakly open subset of K necessarily intersects $C - C$, we conclude that each non-empty relatively weakly open subset of K has diameter, at least, $1 - \varepsilon$.

It is also clear that $diam(K) = diam(C - C) = 2$, so we are done. ∎

Now we shall prove a renorming fact for Banach spaces failing CPCP or SR.

Theorem 2.7. *Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a Banach space and consider $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^+$.*

(1) *Assume that $C \subseteq B_X$ is a closed, symmetric and convex subset verifiying that for every non-empty relatively weakly open subset U of C one has*

$$diam_{\|\cdot\|}(U) \geq \alpha.$$

Then for each $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}^+$ there exists $|\cdot|$ an equivalent norm on X satisfying
   (a) $|x| \geq \|x\| \ \ \forall x \in X$
   (b) *The diameter of every non-empty relatively weakly open subset of $B_{|\cdot|}$ is, at least, $\frac{\alpha}{1+\varepsilon}$.*

(2) *Assume that $C \subseteq B_X$ is a closed, symmetric and convex subset verifiying that $\forall T \subseteq C$ convex combination of slices of C it follows*

$$diam_{\|\cdot\|}(T) \geq \alpha.$$

Then for each $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}^+$ there exists $|\cdot|$ an equivalent norm on X satisfying
   (a) $|x| \geq \|x\| \ \ \forall x \in X$
   (b) *The diameter of each convex combination of slices of $B_{|\cdot|}$ is, at least, $\frac{\alpha}{1+\varepsilon}$.*

Proof. (1) Pick an arbitrary $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}^+, C \subseteq B_X$ satisfying the hypothesis of the theorem.

Define on X the norm whose unit ball is

$$\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}\overline{C + \varepsilon B_X}.$$

This new norm on X is equivalent to $\|\cdot\|$. Moreover, as $B_{|\cdot|} \subseteq B_X$, one has

$$\|x\| \leq |x| \ \ \forall x \in X.$$

Let us prove (b). To this aim pick $U \subseteq B_{|\cdot|}$ a non-empty relatively weakly open set. We can assume that there exist $x_0 \in B_{|\cdot|}$, $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $x_1^*, \ldots, x_n^* \in X^*$ such that

$$U = (x_0 + V_{\beta, x_1^*, \ldots, x_n^*}) \bigcap \frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}\overline{C + \varepsilon B_X},$$

where $V_{\beta, x_1^*, \ldots, x_n^*} := \{x \in X : |x_i^*(x)| < \beta \ \forall i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}\}$. From linearity of the weak topology we can ensure the existence of $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^+, y_1^*, \ldots, y_m^* \in X^*$ such that

$$V_{\gamma, y_1^*, \ldots, y_m^*} + V_{\gamma, y_1^*, \ldots, y_m^*} \subseteq V_{\beta, x_1^*, \ldots, x_n^*}. \tag{2.2}$$

Consider $v \in (x_0 + V_{\gamma, y_1^*, \ldots, y_m^*}) \cap \left(\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}(C + \varepsilon B_X)\right)$. Then, on the one hand

$$v = \frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}(c + \varepsilon b) \ \ c \in C, b \in B_X \tag{2.3}$$

On the other hand

$$v = x_0 + x \quad x \in V_{\gamma,y_1^*,\dots,y_m^*} \tag{2.4}$$

Now we can prove the following

Claim 2.8. *The following inclusion holds*

(2.5)

$$A := \frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}\left(\left(c + V_{\frac{\gamma(1+\varepsilon)}{2},y_1^*,\dots,y_m^*}\bigcap C\right) + \varepsilon\left(b + V_{\frac{\gamma(1+\varepsilon)}{2\varepsilon},y_1^*,\dots,y_m^*}\bigcap B_X\right)\right)$$
$$\subseteq (v + V_{\gamma,y_1^*,\dots,y_m^*})\bigcap\left(\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}(C+\varepsilon B_X)\right).$$

Proof. Pick $z \in A$. Then

$$z = \frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}(c + x + \varepsilon(b+y)),$$

where $x \in V_{\frac{\gamma(1+\varepsilon)}{2},y_1^*,\dots,y_m^*}$, $y \in V_{\frac{\gamma(1+\varepsilon)}{2\varepsilon},y_1^*,\dots,y_m^*}$, $c + x \in C$ and $b + y \in B_X$.

As $c + x \in C$ and $b + y \in B_X$ then

$$z = \frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}(c + x + \varepsilon(b+y) \in \frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}(C + \varepsilon B_X).$$

On the other hand, bearing in mind that $v = \frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}(c + \varepsilon b)$, we conclude that

$$z = v + \frac{x+\varepsilon y}{1+\varepsilon}.$$

So, in order to finish the proof of the claim, it is enough to prove that $\frac{x+\varepsilon y}{1+\varepsilon} \in V_{\gamma,y_1^*,\dots,y_m^*}$. To this aim pick $i \in \{1,\dots,m\}$. Then

$$\left|y_i^*\left(\frac{x+\varepsilon y}{1+\varepsilon}\right)\right| \leq \frac{|y_i^*(x)| + \varepsilon|y_i^*(y)|}{1+\varepsilon} < \frac{\frac{\gamma(1+\varepsilon)}{2} + \varepsilon\frac{\gamma(1+\varepsilon)}{2\varepsilon}}{1+\varepsilon} = \gamma,$$

so we are done. ∎

Furthermore (2.4) implies

$$(v + V_{\gamma,y_1^*,\dots,y_m^*})\bigcap\left(\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}(C+\varepsilon B_X)\right) =$$
$$(x_0 + x + V_{\gamma,y_1^*,\dots,y_m^*})\bigcap\left(\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}(C+\varepsilon B_X)\right)$$
$$\subseteq (x_0 + V_{\gamma,y_1^*,\dots,y_m^*} + V_{\gamma,y_1^*,\dots,y_m^*})\bigcap\left(\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}(C+\varepsilon B_X)\right)$$
$$\subseteq (x_0 + V_{\beta,x_1^*,\dots,x_n^*})\bigcap\left(\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}(C+\varepsilon B_X)\right) \subseteq U.$$

By assumption, we have that

$$diam\left(\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}\left(\left(c + V_{\frac{\gamma(1+\varepsilon)}{2},y_1^*,\dots,y_m^*}\bigcap C\right) + \varepsilon\left(b + V_{\frac{\gamma(1+\varepsilon)}{2\varepsilon},y_1^*,\dots,y_m^*}\bigcap B_X\right)\right)\right)$$

$$\geq \frac{\alpha}{1+\varepsilon},$$

hence

$$diam_{|\cdot|}(U) \geq diam_{\|\cdot\|}(U) \geq \frac{\alpha}{1+\varepsilon},$$

which proves (a).

The proof of (2) follows from Lemma 2.6 and [1, Lemma 2.13]. ∎

From above results and from [8, Corollary 3.2] we get the following

Theorem 2.9. *Let X be a Banach space.*

(1) *X has the RNP if, and only if, each equivalent norm on X verifies that its unit ball contains slices of arbitrarily small diameter.*
(2) *X has the CPCP if, and only if, each equivalent norm on X verifies that its unit ball contains non-empty relatively weakly open subsets of arbitrarily small diameter.*
(3) *X is SR if, and only if, each equivalent norm on X verifies that its unit ball contains convex combinations of slices of arbitrarily small diameter.*

In order to characterize the CPCP and SR in terms of Lip-slices, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.10. *Let X be a Banach space. The following assertions are equivalent:*

(1) *B_X contains non-empty relatively weakly open subsets of arbitrarily small diameter.*
(2) *S_X contains non-empty relatively weakly open subsets of arbitrarily small diameter.*
(3) *S_X contains non-empty relatively open subsets of the Lip topology of arbitrarily small diameter.*

Proof. (2)⇔(3) is known by Theorem 2.4.

(1)⇒(2) is trivial.

(2)⇒(1).

Consider $\delta > 0$ and $U := \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} S(S_X, x_i^*, \varepsilon_i)$ a basic non-empty relatively weakly open subset of S_X whose diameter is smaller than $\frac{\delta}{2}$. Define

$$V := \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} S(B_X, x_i^*, \varepsilon_i),$$

which is a non-empty relatively weakly open subset of B_X and pick $x \in V \cap S_X$. Pick $0 < \alpha < \min\{\frac{\delta}{2}, 1\}$ and consider by [5, Lemma 2.1], for each $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, a functional $y_i^* \in S_{X^*}$ such that

$$x \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} S(B_X, y_i^*, \alpha) \subseteq V.$$

Consequently $x \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} S(S_X, y_i^*, \alpha) \subseteq U$, so $diam\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} S(S_X, y_i^*, \alpha)\right) < \frac{\delta}{2}$. Now we will prove

$$diam\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} S(B_X, y_i^*, \alpha)\right) < \delta.$$

To this aim pick $x, y \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} S(B_X, y_i^*, \alpha)$. Now $\frac{x}{\|x\|}, \frac{y}{\|y\|} \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} S(S_X, y_i^*, \alpha)$, so $\left\|\frac{x}{\|x\|} - \frac{y}{\|y\|}\right\| < \frac{\delta}{2}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\|x - y\| &\leq \left\|\frac{x}{\|x\|} - \frac{y}{\|y\|}\right\| + \left\|x - \frac{x}{\|x\|}\right\| + \left\|y - \frac{y}{\|y\|}\right\| \\ &< \frac{\delta}{2} + 1 - y_1^*(x) + 1 - y^*(y) < \frac{\delta}{2} + 2\alpha < \delta.\end{aligned}$$

■

A similar result can be stated replacing "weakly open sets" with "convex combination of slices" (and consequently, with "slices").

Lemma 2.11. *Let X be a Banach space. The following assertions are equivalent:*

1. *B_X contains convex combinations of slices of arbitrarily small diameter.*
2. *B_X contains convex combinations of slices of S_X of arbitrarily small diameter.*
3. *B_X contains convex combinations of Lip-slices of arbitrarily small diameter.*

Proof. (2)⇔(3) is known by Theorem 2.4.

(1)⇒(2) is clear.

(2)⇒(1) is similar to (2)⇒(1) in Lemma 2.10, but we shall provide a proof for the sake of completeness.

Consider an arbitrary $\delta > 0$ and $C := \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i S(S_X, x_i^*, \alpha)$ a convex combination of slices whose diameter is less than $\frac{\delta}{2}$. We can assume, up considering smaller numbers, that $0 < \alpha < \min\{\frac{\delta}{4}, 1\}$. We shall prove that $diam\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i S(B_X, x_i^*, \alpha)\right) \leq \delta$. To this aim pick $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i x_i, \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i y_i \in \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i S(B_X, x_i^*, \alpha)$. Now it is clear that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i \frac{x_i}{\|x_i\|}, \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i \frac{y_i}{\|y_i\|} \in \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i S(S_X, x_i^*, \alpha) \Rightarrow \left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i \frac{x_i}{\|x_i\|} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i \frac{y_i}{\|y_i\|}\right\| < \frac{\delta}{2}.$$

Now

$$\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i (x_i - y_i)\right\|$$

$$\leq \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i \frac{x_i}{\|x_i\|} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i \frac{y_i}{\|y_i\|} \right\| + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i \left\| x_i - \frac{x_i}{\|x_i\|} \right\| + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i \left\| y_i - \frac{y_i}{\|y_i\|} \right\|$$

$$< \frac{\delta}{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i \alpha + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i \alpha = \frac{\delta}{2} + 2\alpha < \delta.$$

Consequently, $diam\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i S(B_X, x_i^*, \alpha)\right) \leq \delta$, as desired. ∎

Now using above Lemmata and Theorem 2.7 we get the desired characterization of RNP, CPCP and SR.

Theorem 2.12. *Let X be a Banach space.*

(1) *X has the RNP if, and only if, for every equivalent norm on X it follows that its new unit sphere contains Lip-slices of arbitrarily small diameter.*
(2) *X has the CPCP if, and only if, for every equivalent norm on X it follows that its new unit sphere contains non-empty relatively open subsets, for the Lip topology, of arbitrarily small diameter.*
(3) *X is SR if, and only if, for every equivalent norm on X it follows that its new unit ball contains convex combinations of Lip-slices of arbitrarily small diameter.*

The above result shows that RNP, CPCP and SR are properties which depend on the natural uniformity of the Banach space, given by the metric and the linear structure. In fact, roughly speaking, we can say that every property in Banach spaces characterized in terms of the diameter of relevant subsets for the weak topology is determined by the natural uniformity in the space and so the property also can be characterized in terms of relevant subsets for the Lip topology. This is too the case, as we have seen, for the diameter two properties and Daugavet property, for example.

References

[1] J. Becerra Guerrero, G. López-Pérez and A. Rueda Zoca, *Octahedral norms and convex combination of slices in Banach spaces*, J. Funct. Anal. **266** (4) (2014), 2424-2435.

[2] F. H. Clarke, *Optimization and Nonsmooth Analysis*, Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (1990).

[3] W. J. Davis and R. R. Phelps, *The Radon-Nikodym Property and dentable sets in Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **45** (1974), 119-122.

[4] N. Ghoussoub, G. Godefroy, B. Maurey and W. Schachermayer, *Some topological and geometrical structures in Banach spaces,* Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **378**, 1987.

[5] Y. Ivakhno and V. Kadets, *Unconditional sums of spaces with bad projections*, (2006), Available at ResearchGate.

[6] V. Kadets, M. Martín, J. Merí and D. Werner, *Lipschitz slices and the Daugavet equation for Lipschitz operators*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **143** (2014), 5281-5292.

[7] V. Kadets, R. Shvidkoy and D. Werner, *Narrow operators and rich subspaces of Banach spaces with the Daugavet property*, Studia Math. **147** (3) (2001), 269-298.

[8] W. Schachermayer, A. Sersouri and E. Werner, *Moduli of nondentability and the Radon-Nikodým property in Banach spaces*, Israel J. Math. **65** (3) (1989), 225–257.
[9] R. V. Shvidkoy, *Geometric aspects of the Daugavet property*, J. Funct. Anal. **176** (2000), 198-212.

Universidad de Granada, Facultad de Ciencias. Departamento de Análisis Matemático, 18071-Granada (Spain)
E-mail address: glopezp@ugr.es, juliobg@ugr.es, arz0001@correo.ugr.es